Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	arv	10/753,894	BASSETT ET AL.
	iai y	Examiner	Art Unit
		Matthew O. Savage	1724
All Participants:		Status of Application:	
(1) Matthew O. Savage.		(3)	
(2) <u>Mr. Thomas Payne</u> .		(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 June 2006		Time:	
	☐ Applica No	nt's representative)	·
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 43 and 53  Prior art documents discussed:			
Giordano et al and Thomsen			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (	Applicant	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Agreed to amend claims 43 and 54 to include the limitation of the body portion and neck portion being operatively connected such that one is not separable from the other to patently distinguish over Giordano et al and Thomsen, the limitation having basis in FIG. 3 of the drawings showing the body and neck portion as being connected via the cap such that they are not separable from one another. Agreed to provide basis for the limitation added to claims 43 and 54 to page 10 of the specification. Agreed to correct the year of the filing date of parent application 10/208,492 in the first paragraph of the specification. See the attached examiner's amendment and reasons for allowance for details..